

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress CVAIMISS GAP, For VENDARY, TOAL, MY dos was not not believed as well as the commentary of the comments of the comments

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10 057,487	01-25-2002	Lisa V Racie	08702.0073-00000	3565
75	90 02 19 2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			FXAMINER	
			SLOBODYANSKY, FLIZABETH	
Washington, DO	Washington, DC 20005-3315		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	×
			DATE MAILED: 02-19-2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,487	RACIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b). Status	136(a) In no event, however, may a repli ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely S from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U S C § 133)	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom contractation.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	ordenen reganismenn		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in App	lication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·		
a) The translation of the foreign language pr			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	* *		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office		Det d Describe 0	

Application/Control Number: 10/057,487 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a continuation-in-part of copending application 09/978,979. Claims 1-27 are pending.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2, 5 (in part), 6-10 and 12, drawn to a DNA of SEQ ID NO:2 and a complement thereof, a vector containing said DNA, a cell transformed with said vector and a recombinant method for producing an aggrecanase, classified in class 435, subclass 212.
- II. Claims 3, 5 (in part) and 27 (in part), drawn to a DNA of SEQ ID NO:4, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- III. Claims 4, 5 (in part) and 11, drawn to a DNA of SEQ ID NO: 7 and a recombinant method for producing an aggrecanase, classified in class 435, subclass 212.
- IV. Claims 13 and 15, drawn to an aggrecanase of SEQ ID NO:1, classified in class 435, subclass 212.
- V. Claims 14 and 16, drawn to an aggrecanase of SEQ ID NO:8, classified in class 435, subclass 212.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,487

Art Unit: 1652

- VI. Claim 17, drawn to an antibody against an aggrecanase of SEQ ID NO:1, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- VII. Claim 18, drawn to an antibody against an aggrecanase of SEQ ID NO:8, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- VIII. Claims 19, 21 and 23, drawn to methods of computer aided analysis of protein sequence of SEQ ID NO:1, classified in class 700, subclass 98.
- IX. Claims 20, 22 and 24, drawn to methods of computer aided analysis of protein sequence of SEQ ID NO:8, classified in class 700, subclass 98.
- X. Claim 25, drawn to an inhibitor of aggrecanase, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- XI. Claim 26, drawn to an *in vivo* method of use an inhibitor of aggrecanase, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- XII. Claim 27 (in part), drawn to a protein encoded by SEQ ID NO:4, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-XII are patentably distinct because a DNA, a protein, an antibody and an inhibitor, are different compounds each with its own chemical structure and function, and they have different utilities. The DNA molecules of inventions I-III are not

Application/Control Number: 10/057,487 Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

limited in use for the production of aggrecanases of inventions IV, V and XII and can be used as hybridization probes, and aggrecanases of inventions IV, V and XII can be obtained by a materially different method such as by the biochemical purification or chemical synthesis. While aggrecanases of inventions IV and V are related to antibodies of inventions VI and VII, respectively, as being cognate antigens, the structure of antibodies is unpredictable from the structure of the protein of inventions IV and V. Further, antibodies of inventions VI and VII may cross-react not only with an aggrecanases of inventions IV and V but with other proteins. Aggrecanases have other utility besides acting as antigens to induce the antibodies such as for the methods of Groups VIII and IX. An inhibitor of invention X is structurally and functionally different from aggrecanases of inventions IV and V and antibodies of inventions VI and VII and can affect various enzymes.

Inventions (I-III), (IV, V, XII) and (VI and VII), respectively, are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, represent structurally different polypeptides, the polynucleotides encoding them and antibodies against them. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as for hybridization or expression, the different sequences have different effects.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,487 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

Inventions (IV and VIII) and (V and IX), respectively, are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to induce antibodies and in *in vitro* screening for inhibitors thereof.

Inventions X and XI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to induce antibodies.

Inventions VIII, IX and XI are patentably distinct because they are drawn to materially different methods employing different products such as different aggrecanases and inhibitors.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, fall into different statutory classes of invention, and are separately classified and searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,487

Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

A telephone call was made to Ms. Carol Cole on February 5, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky whose telephone number is (703) 306-3222. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD

Primary Examiner February 13, 2003